



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/649,862

08/28/2003

Der-Zheng Liu

TOP 312

6352

23995

7590

12/13/2007

RABIN & Berdo, PC  
1101 14TH STREET, NW  
SUITE 500  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

BURD, KEVIN MICHAEL

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2611

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/13/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/649,862

Applicant(s)

LIU ET AL.

Examiner

Kevin M. Burd

Art Unit

2611

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kevin M. Burd.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Steven Rabin.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 10 December 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1.


Identification of prior art discussed: the instant application's disclosed prior art.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
KEVIN BURD  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner's interpretation of the description of figures 1A and 1B as being prior art was discussed. Figures 1A and 1B were disclosed as prior art in the description of the prior art section of the specification. The examiner indicated these figures should be labelled as prior art since only that which is old is disclosed. Applicant labelled these figures as prior art in the previous response. Additional description of the figures 1A and 1B is disclosed on pages 3-5 in the detailed description section of the specification. The examiner believes the description of the prior art figures, though recited in the detailed description section of the specification, is also prior art. A portion of the rejection of the claims was made using figures 1A and 1B as well as the description of these figures..